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ABSTRACT. Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus) were recorded in Isfjorden, Svalbard (78°15′ N, 15°11′E) in late September 2013. This record is the northernmost known occurrence of mackerel in the Arctic and represents a possible northward expansion (of ca. 5° latitude) of its distributional range. The examined specimens of mackerel were between 7 and 11 years old, with a mean size of 39 cm and a mean weight of 0.5 kg. Examination of stomach contents indicated that the mackerel were feeding mainly on juvenile herring (Clupea harengus). The occurrence of mackerel in the Arctic is discussed in relation to the recent increase in mackerel population size in the North Atlantic and the expansion of other North Atlantic fishes into the Svalbard region during the last decade. Using a decadal record of water temperature, we conclude that the occurrence of Atlantic mackerel in Svalbard waters is a result of a continued warming of the ocean in the region and that it follows a general trend of species extending their distributional ranges northward into the Arctic.
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RÉSUMÉ. À la fin de septembre 2013, la présence de grands maquereaux (Scomber scombrus) a été enregistrée à Isfjorden, dans l'archipel du Svalbard (78°15′ N, 15°11′ E). Il s'agit de l'apparition de maquereaux la plus nordique à avoir été enregistrée dans l'Arctique, ce qui pourrait représenter une extension vers le nord (d'environ 5 degrés de latitude) de la répartition de cette espèce. Les individus qui ont été examinés étaient âgés de 7 à 11 ans, avaient une taille moyenne de 39 cm et un poids moyen de 0,5 kg. L'analyse des contenus stomacaux a permis de déterminer que les maquereaux se nourrissaient essentiellement de harengs juvéniles (Clupea harengus). La présence du maquereau dans l'Arctique est discutée à la lumière de l'augmentation récente de la population dans l'Atlantique Nord et de l'afflux d'autres poissons de l'Atlantique Nord dans la région du Svalbard au cours de la dernière décennie. Grâce à l'enregistrement décennal de la température de l'eau, nous concluons que la présence du grand maquereau dans les eaux du Svalbard résulte du réchauffement continu de l'océan dans la région et que cela suit la tendance générale des espèces à étendre leur parcours vers le nord, dans l'Arctique.

Mots clés : Arctique; grand maquereau; Scomber scombrus; répartition des espèces; océanographie

РЕЗЮМЕ. В конце сентября 2013 года в Исфьорде, архипелаг Свалбард (78°15′ с.ш., 15°11′ в.д.), было зарегистрировано самое северное появление атлантической скумбрии (Scomber scombrus). Эта находка представляет расширение района встречаемости этого вида на примерно 5° широты в северном направлении. Возраст всех обнаруженных экземпляров скумбрии составлял от 7 до 11 лет, средний размер 39 см и средний вес около 0,5 кг. Исследование содержимого желудков пойманных экземпляров показало, что скумбрия питалась в основном молодью сельди (Clupea harengus). Появление атлантической скумбрии в районе Свалбарда обсуждается в связи с увеличением численности популяции этого вида в Северной Атлантике и расширением ареалов североатлантических видов рыб в район Свалбарда в течение последнего десятилетия. На основе анализа декадных наблюдений за температурой воды в исследованном районе сделан вывод о том, что появление атлантической скумбрии в водах Свалбарда является результатом продолжающегося повышения температуры воды в регионе и отражает общую тенденцию смещения границ ареалов видов умеренной широт в северном направлении.

Ключевые слова: Арктика; атлантическая скумбрия; Scomber scombrus; распределение видов; океанография
INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus* L.; hereafter, mackerel) in the North East Atlantic (NEA) has extended its distribution and migration patterns (ICES, 2013a). Normally, after spawning west of the British Isles during spring or early summer, the mackerel migrates northward to feed in the Norwegian Sea, along the Norwegian Coast, and partly in the North Sea before returning to the spawning areas in late autumn (Iversen, 2004; Fig. 1). In recent years, mackerel have been recorded and fished commercially around the Faroe Islands and Iceland, and have even been caught in the waters east of Greenland (ICES, 2013b). This northward and westward expansion of mackerel distribution appears to be related to increasing sea surface temperatures in the NEA during summer, exemplified by the warming of Atlantic water in two west Spitsbergen (Svalbard) fjords during the 1900s (Pavlov et al., 2013). However, there are also signs of an increased abundance of mackerel in general, with record high year-classes during the last 10 years (ICES, 2013a, b).

The mackerel is a relatively long-lived species, reaching a maximum age of around 20 years (Pethon, 2005). For at least the last decade, the population of the Atlantic mackerel has been quite stable, with a spawning stock of about two to four million tonnes (Bakketeig et al., 2013), but with indications of an increase of about 30% to nearly six million tonnes during the last years (ICES, 2013a). A remarkably stable recruitment and a good surplus production in the stock have yielded catches of about 500 000 to 700 000 tonnes annually (ICES, 2013a) and around 900 000 tonnes annually during the last few years. The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) has recommended catches of about 650 000 tonnes annually, but possible overfishing due to illegal, unreported, and unregulated fishing has been a controversial issue in the management of the stock and was considered a problem until the years 2000–05 (ICES, 2013b). In recent years, the expansion in distribution has enabled Iceland and Greenland to engage in fishing of mackerel. Historically, these countries did not have a quota according to the management agreement between the coastal states that have been harvesting the mackerel stock in the NEA. As a result the management agreement was broken, and in the years 2010–13 there was no international agreement on fishing of mackerel. The European Union, Faroe Islands, and Norway recently agreed on a total allowable catch (TAC) with respective allocations of mackerel in the NEA, but Iceland is still not part of the new agreement (Anon., 2014).

In the autumn of 2013, a potential new chapter in the evolving history of mackerel in the NEA began with the first record of the species in the Arctic waters of Svalbard (Aaserud, 2013, and this study). We followed up this unexpected occurrence of mackerel in the Arctic with a small survey near Longyearbyen, adding a description of related oceanographic conditions of the sea in the area. Our aims with this study were to evaluate the magnitude of the mackerel influx into Isfjorden and to characterize basic biological traits of the individuals collected.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fish data for this study were collected from Isfjorden (78°15’ N, 15°11’ E), a west-facing fjord in the Svalbard archipelago (Fig. 1). Six mackerel were collected with hook and line from the RV *Viking Explorer* on 22–23 September 2013. An additional four mackerel caught by local residents during this period were included in the study. Scientific bottom and pelagic trawling and hook-and-line fishing in the fjord from the RV *Helmer Hanssen* on 24–25 September 2013 caught no mackerel. During the collection period, water temperature in the upper 40 m of the water column, measured with a profiling CTD rosette, ranged from 5.0°C to 5.5°C.

Fish caught from RV *Viking Explorer* were identified according to Pethon (2005) and frozen whole. After thawing in the lab, total length (cm) and total wet weight (g) were measured. Otoliths were removed and ages estimated from them by experts at the Institute of Marine Research in Bergen, Norway, according to their standard procedures for age determination of the species (Mjanger et al., 2013). Sex
of individual specimens was determined through morphological examination of their gonads. The gonadal somatic index (GSI) was determined from the gonadal weight as a percentage of carcass weight (gonads and stomach contents subtracted from total weight). Guts were removed and stomach contents were weighed and recorded. Stomach contents were identified to the lowest possible taxon under a stereomicroscope.

To investigate interannual variation in the local oceanographic conditions, we used hydrographic data collected since 2002 by oceanographic moorings in Kongsfjorden (Fig. 1) since ocean dynamics and conditions in the adjacent fjords of Kongsfjorden and Isfjorden are known to be similar (Nilsen et al., 2008; Pavlov et al., 2013). These moorings, which have recorded the seasonal variability in ocean conditions in this region (e.g., Cottier et al., 2005, 2007), were placed in the entrance to Kongsfjorden, typically at water depths of more than 200 m, with instrumentation spanning the water column from 20 m below the surface to 10 m from the seabed. Most mooring deployments had at least 10 temperature sensors distributed throughout the depth range (see Cottier et al., 2005 for typical mooring arrangement and instrumentation). As winter conditions are considered a precursor of subsequent summer intrusions of Atlantic water into the west-facing Svalbard fjords (Nilsen et al., 2008), we calculated the mean temperature integrated for the water column during winter (February–April). Also, since winter temperatures might play an important role in the survival of newly established populations, they are relevant to our argument concerning the gradual increase of boreal species entering the Arctic.

To test for significant shifts in winter temperature, we applied an algorithm to the resulting time-series of mean winter temperatures. The algorithm detects shifts in the data series by the sequential application of t-tests to determine whether each data point differs significantly in its properties from the preceding points (for details of the computation, see Rodionov, 2004). Significant changes are confirmed or rejected by considering the subsequent data points. In this way, there was no prior assignment of the shift point; rather it emerges from the statistical treatment of the data series. The cut-off length \( l \) is an important criterion, which sets a minimum length of a period to be considered a regime, as well as the significance level \( p \) of the t-test. In our analysis of winter temperatures, we used \( l = 3 \) years because this length permitted better resolution of regimes occurring in a relatively short data series. The significance level for the test was set to \( \alpha = 0.1 \). Autocorrelation of the data (deYoung et al., 2004) can introduce red noise, which can be a problem in such time series; we handled this by using a “prewhitening” technique [available at www.beringclimate.noaa.gov] that removes the red noise component through subsampling and bias correction (Rodionov, 2006).

We also document changes in the fish fauna of Kongsfjorden and Isfjorden on Svalbard over the last 15 years. Data are derived mainly from our cruises with RV Helmer Hanssen, from which the University Centre in Svalbard has conducted regular surveys of the fish fauna every autumn since the late 1990s. This information is supplemented by observations from research cruises by UiT The Arctic University of Norway, the Norwegian Polar Institute, and published records.

RESULTS

In total, six mackerel were caught at 20–50 m depth during six hours of fishing on 22–23 September 2013 (Table 1). Three additional fish were hooked, but lost at sea. In addition, four individuals collected at the same time in the same area were made available to us by local inhabitants of Longyearbyen. The 10 specimens had a mean weight of 510 ± 67.1 g, a mean length of 38.9 ± 1.6 cm, and a mean age of 7+ years (Table 1). All fish were females, with GSI ranging from 0.20% to 1.50%. Six taxa were present in the stomachs of the mackerel (Table 2). Fish were the main prey, present in 90% of the stomachs and constituting more than 98% of the total prey dry weight. Two of the stomachs contained only herring (Clupea harengus L.), and 41.7% of the total consumed prey dry weight was unequivocally identified as herring. However, the texture and color of the remaining fish items (56.4% of prey dry weight) indicate that all fish consumed were probably herring. Two of the fish had eaten squid, but this group accounted for only 1.5% of prey by weight. Crustacea (Calanus sp. and Thysanoessa sp.) were present in two of the stomachs, although it is possible that some originated from the fish eaten by the mackerel. The consumed herring had large amounts of calanoid copepods in their stomachs.

The time-series of winter mean temperatures indicated that the temperatures were below zero (mean value = −1°C) from 2002 to 2005, whereas five of eight winters had temperatures above zero (mean value = 0.5°C) from 2006 onwards (Fig. 2). Using the method outlined by Rodionov (2004, 2006), we identified a statistically significant shift in winter temperatures in 2006 at \( p = 0.1 \). The occurrence of a single shift in 2006 was insensitive to the use of \( l = 3, 4, \) or 5 years, demonstrating that the result is quite robust to selection of length.

DISCUSSION

The local newspaper, Svalbardposten, reported that inhabitants of Longyearbyen had collected 72 specimens, ranging between 35 and 40 cm long, one week earlier in the same fjord (Aaserud, 2013). These individuals were not available for examination and are therefore not included in the present study. They do, nevertheless, underline the fact that the occurrence of mackerel in Isfjorden involves more than a few single individuals. The mackerel caught by residents of Longyearbyen (Aaserud, 2013) and by the R/V Viking Explorer (this study) did confirm the occurrence of
the species in Svalbard. However, the lack of mackerel in the trawl catches from the same area by the R/V Helmer Hanssen over the next few days suggested that the numbers of mackerel in the area were limited. The individuals caught in Isfjorden were between 7 and 11 years old, paralleled by the very strong year-classes in 2002, 2005, and 2006 (ICES, 2013b; Nøttestad, 2014). This age range also indicates that it is the older individuals in the populations that make such long migrations (see Nøttestad et al., 1999) beyond the limits of their hitherto normal distribution ranges. The mackerel were mature, but their low GSI (< 2%) indicated that they were not in spawning but rather in post-spawning condition. The NEA mackerel is a fast swimmer: it can swim at a sustained speed of 1 m s\(^{-1}\) over extended periods (He and Wardle, 1988). Therefore it is possible, if the temperature range is within their tolerance limits, that these mackerel are capable of covering the distance from Tromsø, south of the previous northern edge of the species’ distribution, to Longyearbyen (973 km in a straight line) within a two-week period (assuming a constant average speed). Their optimum temperature is in the 7°C – 13°C range, and their lower tolerance at around 4.5°C (Iversen, 2004). An interesting observation in our study was that mackerel were found to feed more or less exclusively on juvenile herring, reinforcing the documentation that mackerel can be effective predators on both larval and juvenile herring during their active feeding migration in the Arctic (see Skaret et al., 2014, reporting from a study along the Norwegian coast).

**Large-scale Oceanographic Conditions and Ranges of Boreal Fishes**

Most of the world’s oceans, including the North Atlantic and Arctic Oceans, have experienced pronounced variations in temperatures during the last 120,000 years (Rahmstorf, 2002; Sarnthein et al., 2003). The warmer temperatures of Atlantic water entering the Arctic Ocean in the early 21st century have no precedent in the past 2000 years and are presumably linked to the Arctic amplification

**TABLE 1. Total weight (TW, g), total length (TL, cm), dry weight of stomach contents (g), wet weight of gonads (g), gender, and age (years) of 10 Atlantic mackerel collected in Isfjorden, Svalbard, on 22 – 23 September 2013. All individuals were mature, and the GSI was below 2% for all examined individuals (data not shown).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>TW (g)</th>
<th>TL (cm)</th>
<th>Dry weight stomach contents (g)</th>
<th>Wet weight gonads (g)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>486.6</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>2.0336</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>522.6</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>0.2234</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>465.9</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>0.1995</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>509.4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>1.0007</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>494.9</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>1.3267</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>421.3</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>1.4679</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>480.0</td>
<td>27.20</td>
<td>2.0513</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>677.5</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>11.8723</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>537.8</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>1.1498</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>503.8</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>0.8133</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>510.0</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>2.2224</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± SD</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.4487</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2. Prey occurrence and prey weight from 10 mature female Atlantic mackerel stomachs from Isfjorden, Svalbard.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prey taxa</th>
<th>%F</th>
<th>DW</th>
<th>%DW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crustacea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustacea ind.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calanus sp.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thysanoessa sp.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalopoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisces</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>103.12</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified fish</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>56.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clupea harengus</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 2. New fish species in Svalbard waters in relation to ocean temperature. Points on blue line represent mean water column temperatures during winter (February – April) in each year. Black line shows significantly higher mean winter temperatures since the 2006 warming event than before 2006 (p = 0.1). All new fish species were recorded during this warmer period. Red circles below a year indicate first records in Kongsfjorden for (A): Mallotus villosus, (B): Melanogrammus aeglefinus, (C): Entelurus aequoreus, (D): Gadus morhua (juv.), (E): Melanogrammus aeglefinus (juv.), (F): Clupea harengus, and (G): Scomber scombrus.**
of global warming (Spilhaus et al., 2011). In the Barents and Greenland Seas, these changes are manifested through an increased volume transport of Atlantic water, which can exchange with water found on the adjacent shelves and in the fjords on the west coast of Svalbard (Cottier et al., 2005, 2007; Nilsen et al., 2008).

As the North Atlantic and Arctic Oceans and the Eurasian Arctic shelf seas are strongly linked through the North Atlantic Current System (NACS), variations in this current system are likely to have a direct and detectable influence on the marine flora and fauna in these regions (Piechura and Waleczowski, 2009; Narasyanaswamy et al., 2010; Renaud et al., 2012). The main route for northward migration of boreal and temperate species is the West Spitsbergen Current (WSC). Recent work has shown that the temperature change in the WSC has been approximately 0.01°C per year for the last 15 years (Walczowski et al., 2012) and that the maximum temperature in the adjacent fjords has increased by around 0.02°C per year over the last century (Pavlov et al., 2013). This increase may be exemplified by the past and current distributions of the blue mussel (Mytilus edulis L.) in the Arctic (Berge et al., 2005) or the change in hard-bottom benthos (Kortsch et al., 2012). The presence or absence of blue mussels in Svalbard during the last 11 000 years is probably linked to oscillations in ocean climate that resulted from changes in the volume transport of Atlantic water through the NACS (Berge et al., 2005, 2006). Accordingly, as the average temperature in the WSC has not increased relative to that inside the fjords of Svalbard (Cottier et al., 2005, 2007; Walczowski et al., 2012; Fig. 2), the appearance of boreal species is assumed to be linked with processes that enable these species to enter the fjord, rather than to those that allow them to reach this far north. In the discussion below, we therefore treat the mackerel as having a more or less continuous distribution within its known distributional range (see Fig. 1), although this continuity is merely an assumption and the documented distributional range is discontinuous. Nevertheless, the documented occurrence of mackerel in Svalbard fjords does illustrate the interplay between large-scale oceanographic conditions (mostly through the NACS) and more local cross-shelf exchange processes at the mouth of the fjord, both of which are essential for a temperate species to eventually enter the fjord.

Effect of Local Oceanographic Conditions on Boreal Fishes

Our oceanographic data from Kongsfjorden show a measurable change in the winter oceanic conditions during the last decade. While declaring a regime shift on the basis of winter temperature alone should be done with caution, the periods before and after 2006 are statistically different (Fig. 2). Table 3 shows that except for Atlantic cod, all new boreal fish species in Svalbard have been recorded since 2006. Previous work (Nilsen et al., 2008) has shown that winter conditions, particularly those related to sea ice formation, can modify the extent to which Atlantic water can exchange effectively with the fjord in subsequent summers.

The shift in winter temperatures in Svalbard fjords therefore has the potential to influence the fish population in two ways: directly through increased survival during winter periods or more indirectly through an increased influx of Atlantic water during summer and autumn seasons. Advection of Atlantic zooplankton species into the fjords has been demonstrated in Kongsfjorden (e.g., Willis et al., 2006, 2008). Populations of such advected organisms (those that are not active swimmers) are more likely to survive overwintering in the fjords when winter temperatures are elevated (e.g., Berge et al., 2005). Long-term changes in oceanic conditions, with enhanced winter survival through increased influx of Atlantic waters, are likely important regulating factors for new establishment of boreal species in the fjords of Svalbard (e.g., Berge et al., 2009). The occurrence of fish species in Svalbard waters seems to have increased slowly since 2006. Mackerel, however, currently appear to be present in Svalbard waters only as late summer transients.

CONCLUSIONS

In accordance with these observations, mackerel may be regarded as part of a sequence of marine temperate or boreal organisms that move northward. Many boreal fish species have recently shifted their distributions northward in response to increasing ocean temperatures and decreasing sea ice (Drinkwater, 2009; Wienerroither et al., 2011; Renaud et al., 2012; Hop and Gjøsæter, 2013; Christiansen et al., 2014; Table 3). The occurrence of mackerel reported...
here could also represent a unique observation of specimens far outside their normal distributional area, rather than an extension of mackerel distribution. However, occurrences of several new fish species in the fjord that do not seem to be related to a simple northward extension of isotherms (see Waleczowski et al., 2012) indicate that both large-scale oceanographic alterations in volume transport through the NACS and advection and physical cross-shelf exchange processes are important factors for fish entry into the fjords. One might also argue that the occurrence of mackerel in the Arctic is linked to the increasing population size at lower latitudes (ICES, 2013b) and that its current northward expansion is due mainly to the size and population structure of the mackerel stock (Nøttestad, 2014). However, other comparable changes in both benthic and pelagic fauna have been detected (e.g., Beuchel et al., 2006; Greene et al., 2008; Narayanaswamy et al., 2010; Berge et al., 2012; Kwasniewski et al., 2012) and related to annual and decadal oscillations in the transport and temperature of Atlantic water (Polyakov et al., 2005; Pavlov et al., 2013). Although the causes of such changes are not fully understood, their consequences for the Arctic flora and fauna are likely substantial. The recent northward extension of the mackerel’s distribution range should be interpreted in this context, not as a unique and isolated incident, but as yet another part of a domino sequence in which boreal species are established at the northernmost extension of the North Atlantic current system. It is therefore likely that even more new occurrences of boreal fish species will be observed in the Arctic. Migrating species will likely change their distribution not only in response to changes in temperature, which make it possible for them to reach other food sources in the Arctic, but also in relation to shifts in distribution of their preferred prey species (Dalpadado et al., 2012).
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