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A B S T R A C T

Harmful algal blooms (HABs) are thought to be increasing in coastal waters worldwide. Anthropogenic nutrient enrichment has been proposed as a principal causative factor of this increase through elevated inorganic and/or organic nutrient concentrations and modified nutrient ratios. We assess: 1) the level of understanding of the link between the amount, form and ratio of anthropogenic nutrients and HABs; 2) the evidence for a link between anthropogenically generated HABs and negative impacts on human health; and 3) the economic implications of anthropogenic nutrient/HAB interactions. We demonstrate that an anthropogenic nutrient-HAB link is far from universal, and where it has been demonstrated, it is most frequently associated with high biomass rather than low biomass (biotoxin producing) HABs. While organic nutrients have been shown to have support the growth of a range of HAB species, insufficient evidence exists to clearly establish if these nutrients specifically promote the growth of harmful species in preference to benign ones, or if/how they influence toxicity of harmful species. We conclude that the role of anthropogenic nutrients in promoting HABs is site-specific, with hydrodynamic processes often determining whether blooms occur. We also find a lack of evidence of widespread significant adverse health impacts from anthropogenic nutrient-generated HABs, although this may be partly due to a lack of human/animal health and HAB monitoring. Detailed economic evaluation and cost/benefit analysis of the impact of anthropogenically generated HABs, or nutrient reduction schemes to alleviate them, is also frequently lacking.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

1. Introduction

Phytoplankton form the base of the aquatic food chain. In marine waters, there are approximately 4000 species of phytoplankton (Sournia, 1995), most of which are benign to humans. Some species are considered “harmful” however, impacting human and animal health through the production of a variety of potent natural biotoxins, and/or by causing economic losses through their negative impacts on human uses of ecosystem services (Anderson et al., 2002; Davidson et al., 2011; Hallegraeff, 1993).

Harmful species are present within most phytoplankton classes, but a distinction should be made between the impacts caused by high versus low biomass HABs. High biomass HABs while sometimes toxic (Black, 2001) more often result in oxygen depletion in bottom waters when a bloom sinks and is decomposed by bacteria. Farmed (and wild) fish may also be killed by the smothering of gills due to phytoplankton mucus production or from gill abrasion by spines on the cell walls of some phytoplankters (Bruno et al. 1989). In contrast, low biomass HABs ( < a few hundred to thousands of cells/L) threaten human health (and the health of other animals) as a result of the biotoxins produced by these phytoplankters being concentrated by filter feeding shellfish and other organisms that may subsequently be ingested by humans or transferred through the marine food web (Davidson et al., 2011). Humans may also be exposed to, and affected by, biotoxin-contaminated water or aerosols (Bean et al., 2011).

Several researchers (Anderson, 1998; Smaryda, 1990; Van Dolah, 2000) have reported an apparent global increase in HABs in marine...
waters. Coupled with an increasing demand for seafood products, such an increase would imply that HABs pose important global health and economic risks.

Determining the causative factors for HAB events is complex. While the ballast water transport of cells (Smayda, 2007) and climate change (Hallegraeff, 2010; Moore et al., 2008) are potentially important in governing the biogeography and formation of HABs, it is generally accepted that the availability of dissolved inorganic nutrients likely mediate phytoplankton growth in most coastal waters (Howarth and Marino, 2006). As increases in human coastal populations, industrialization, and the intensification of agriculture have elevated the supply of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) to coastal waters (Ferreira et al., 2011), the role of anthropogenic nutrient enrichment and associated changes in nutrient ratios are among the most frequently proposed and debated hypotheses relating to increased HABs in coastal waters (Gilbert et al., 2005; Harrison et al., 2012; Heisler et al., 2008; Smayda, 1990).

Whether elevated concentrations of these nutrients are accompanied by HABs is not straightforward, as determining cause and effect is difficult. Therefore while the link between anthropogenic nutrients, harmful algae and both human health consequences and economic impact remains debated within the “HAB research community”, many other scientists, managers and members of the public erroneously believe this link to be globally established. In this paper we therefore explore the strength of this link and ongoing areas of uncertainty, with the aim of providing better understanding of the issue for those who seek to make coastal management decisions.

2. Eutrophication, nutrients, and HABs

Fertilizers, sewage, animal wastes, atmospheric inputs, and coastal aquaculture all contribute to elevated nutrient concentrations in coastal waters, with strong evidence that elevated nutrients have led to increased phytoplankton biomass and primary production in some locations (Anderson et al., 2008; Gowen et al., 2012; Heisler et al., 2008; Smayda, 1990). Clearly, the appearance of HABs could be regarded as an undesirable disturbance, and hence HABs and incidents of high seafood toxicity have been used to “diagnose” eutrophication (Foden et al., 2010).

While a link between anthropogenic nutrient enrichment and HABs is often assumed to be widespread, the relationship is complex because HABs are not a new phenomena, and they may occur naturally with a wide geographical distribution that pre-dates the enrichment of coastal waters, clearly demonstrating that anthropogenically-caused enrichment is not a prerequisite for their occurrence (Richardson, 1997). For this reason, and because other pressures such as climate change can influence HABs (Hallegraeff, 2010; Moore et al., 2008), the occurrence of HABs does not necessarily imply eutrophication. Recent examples of HABs with no identified anthropogenic link include Karienia mikimotoi blooms in NE Atlantic coastal waters (Davidson et al., 2009) and Alexandrium fundeyense in the Gulf of Maine (Anderson et al., 2008). Another example of a location that suffers HAB events with no clear anthropogenic link is Loch Creran on the west coast of Scotland where blooms of the paralytic shellfish poisoning causative genus Alexandrium occur. This area exhibits relatively low nutrient concentrations with few anthropogenic inputs (Fehling et al., 2006; Lanborg et al., 2009). However, as demonstrated by Fig. 1, Alexandrium blooms in Loch Creran differ markedly in magnitude between years (a near 20-fold difference in peak cell abundance between 2009 and 2011). The location is not subject to significant anthropogenic nutrient loading and while the in situ nutrient concentrations were similar in different years, a dramatic bloom of Alexandrium occurred in 2011 alone.

Nevertheless, scientists have argued (Gowen et al., 2008; Hays et al., 2005) that eutrophication may be implied by either the occurrence of HABs where none have occurred before, or by increases in HAB frequency or HAB spatial/temporal extent that can be tied to anthropogenic nutrient enrichment. Consequently, in a European context Ferreira et al. (2011) recommended that, if but only if, HAB frequency, amplitude, or toxicity increase in response to nutrient inputs, then HABs should be treated as one of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) indicators of eutrophication.

We may also ask whether eutrophication is always accompanied by HABs. This is a more equivocal question, because determining a cause and effect relationship is often difficult. While regulatory or human health based monitoring programmes are increasingly generating HAB time series, those that include parallel environmental data are less common, with sufficiently long time series (e.g. longer than a decade) of nutrient loading and taxonomic data that allow natural inter-annual variability to be quantified rarely available (Hays et al., 2005). Notwithstanding this problem, where data exist, a number of studies provide strong support for the hypothesis that anthropogenic nutrients have increased the occurrence of HABs in some coastal regions. Prominent amongst these are studies of Tolo Harbour in Hong Kong and Japan’s Seto
Inland Sea. As discussed by (Gowen et al., 2012), for inner Tolo Harbour, there is prima facie evidence that anthropogenic nutrient enrichment caused an increase from ~3 to 30 red tide events during the eight year period from 1982 to 1989 (Hodgkiss and Ho, 1997). Time series of HABs in the Seto Inland Sea of Japan (Imai et al., 2006; Nishikawa et al., 2010) and associated changes in nutrient loadings and concentrations also provide evidence for an anthropogenic nutrient-driven increase in the frequency of HABs on a larger spatial scale than inner Tolo Harbour (Gowen et al., 2012, Fig. 2).

Results in other regions do not demonstrate a clear nutrient enrichment — HAB linkage. For example, many researchers have linked the “appearance” of blooms of the foam-producing nuisance flagellate *Phaeocystis* in the southern North Sea to anthropogenic nutrients (Anderson et al., 2002; Hallegraaf, 1993; Smayda, 1990). While the suggestion that *Phaeocystis* appeared where none existed before is incorrect (Cade and Hegeman, 2002; Gowen et al., 2012), there is convincing evidence that enrichment increases the duration of spring *Phaeocystis* blooms in the Dutch and Belgian coastal waters (Cade and Hegeman, 1986, 2002). Nevertheless, similar enrichment in the inner German Bight at Helgoland has not been shown convincingly to support HABs, most likely because of an over-riding hydrodynamic influence (Hickel, 1998). Furthermore, recent studies in the southern North Sea suggest that *Phaeocystis* blooms are related to large scale water movements and climatic conditions (Breton et al., 2006; Gieskes et al., 2007).

For many other coastal regions, the HAB-nutrient enrichment debate is ongoing, with insufficient evidence to draw definitive conclusions. For example, a significant correlation exists between decadal increases in paralytic shellfish poisoning (PSP) toxins in Puget Sound, with the expansion of local coastal human populations (Trainer et al., 2003) suggesting that pressures, such as nutrient enrichment associated with human population growth, influence an increase in HAB frequency. However, Trainer et al. (2003) pointed out the possible link to decadal scale climate variation and Moore et al. (2008) argued that increasing water temperature may be driving the increase in PSP. Arguments have also been presented both for and against the stimulation by nutrients of blooms of the neurotoxic dinoflagellate *Karenia brevis*, in the Gulf of Mexico (Anderson et al., 2008; Olascoaga et al., 2008; Vargo et al., 2008). Similarly, while nutrient enrichment has been suggested as a potential cause of the increase in HABs in Korean waters, Kim (2010) finds that the mechanisms of initiation and development of blooms of important species, such as the fish killing dinoflagellate *Cochlodinium polykrikoides*, are poorly understood due to inadequate information about oceanographic conditions in the Western Pacific and East China Sea.

### 3. Nutrient ratios

The intracellular and extracellular balance of nutrients is central to phytoplankton growth and competition (Tilman, 1977). Therefore, an important issue related to HABs is the role of nutrient ratios in governing their bloom formation. In marine systems the “Redfield Ratio” concept has long been central to the debate surrounding resource competition. Redfield demonstrated that the chemical composition of plankton tends towards an average atomic C:N:P ratio of 106:16:1. The nutrient in least supply relative to the requirements for growth (determined by their biochemical composition) is deemed the “limiting” nutrient (Davidson et al., 1992) with the important caveat that if both nutrient concentrations are high with respect to a phytoplankton’s requirement, the ratio will have little or no effect. The switch between different forms of nutrient limitation is thought to follow a threshold response. Thus the Redfield N:P ratio is widely used with respect to ambient concentrations of dissolved inorganic N and P to infer which nutrient is likely to limit a phytoplankton population, with ratios of <16:1 and >16:1 indicating N and P limitation, respectively.

An anthropogenically mediated change in the N:P ratio (rather than their absolute concentrations) has frequently been linked to the appearance of HABs, known as the “nutrient ratio hypothesis” (Hodgkiss and Ho, 1997; Smayda, 1990). However, such a link is increasingly being challenged on theoretical grounds in freshwater (Reynolds, 1999; Sterner and Elser, 2002). In marine systems in particular, (Flynn, 2010) argues that phytoplankton growth on N and P is related to their intracellular concentration (the cell quota, (Droop, 1968)), and hence models based on extracellular nutrient concentrations are flawed. Moreover, different species have no physiological basis for a fixed intracellular nutrient ratio (Davidson et al., 1991; Geider and La Roche, 2002), and hence will exhibit different critical N:P ratios, that may differ from Redfield values that are basin and season wide averages. Understanding the role of species specific nutrient ratios may therefore be key to the application of nutrient competition theory (Elser et al., 2007) to HABs.

While suitable field data sets to test the nutrient ratio–HAB hypothesis are rare, those key examples that do exist (from the North Sea (Riegmam et al., 1992), and Hong Kong waters (Hodgkiss and Ho, 1997)) are often quoted in support of a N:P–HAB link, in apparent contradiction of the theoretical arguments above. However, close examination of these studies (below) suggests that the links between HABs and nutrient ratios are tenuous.

In the Marsdiep region of the Dutch Wadden Sea, the total N:P ratio decreased from 38 to 13 during the 1970s and 1980s. It has been hypothesised that this increased the magnitude and duration of summer *Phaeocystis* blooms (Riegmam et al., 1992), but the large number of often contradictory studies does not provide a clear picture, particularly as mistaken assertions that *Phaeocystis* blooms first appeared in this location in the 1970s (Hallegraeff, 1993; Smayda, 1990) incorrectly implied a link with N:P ratio. Subsequently (Phillipart et al., 2007) suggested that while it is not possible to preclude a role for nutrient ratios in partly controlling the Dutch coastal phytoplankton community, other factors may be important or dominant, with the drivers of long term fluctuations in *Phaeocystis* in the southern North Sea remaining unclear.

In Tolo Harbour, Hong Kong, the N:P ratio decreased from ~20 to 10 from 1982 to 1989 because of increased sewage loads. This
After sewage diversion in 1998, the N:P ratio increased to ~35:1 from 1998 to 2007. However, a decrease in HAB frequency started in 1998 to 2007. The number of red tide events in Tolo Harbour and Victoria Harbour (Hong Kong) from 1986 to 2007 (see Harrison et al. (2012)). The vertical lines indicate the time of sewage diversion at each location. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

4. Hydrodynamics

In a number of the examples above physical conditions have been highlighted as potentially important or over-riding factors in the control of HAB occurrence/magnitude. Other examples include the Gulf of Mexico where wind speed has also been shown to influence Karenia brevis blooms (Stumpf et al., 2009) and the Baltic Sea where the surface accumulation of cyanobacteria is promoted by calm weather (Kanoshina et al., 2003). Such physical factors may also be key to the geographical inconsistency in the influence of anthropogenic nutrient enrichment on HABs, as rates of lateral exchange, mixing, or dispersion within and between water bodies differ (Cowen et al., 2012). Hydrodynamics may act in a range of ways. Factors such as the strength of vertical mixing and its consequences for the illumination experienced by phytoplankton are important, and spatio-temporal patterns in stratification also influence phytoplankton species succession. Solar warming of the sea surface, or the input of freshwater, create surface layers of lower density water. Hence, while nutrient inputs to such layers (either natural or anthropogenic) may stimulate blooms, biomass can be diluted or removed through dispersion by currents or the consumption by planktonic and benthic animals. Strong vertical mixing, due to wind, tidal currents, or surface cooling, also carries phytoplankton away from the surface light, and can suspend large quantities of light-obscuring sediment from the seabed in shallow areas.

Different hydrodynamic features of water bodies provide an explanation for why HABs occur in some enriched waters, but are
less frequent or absent in others. High biomass blooms, sometimes including blooms with harmful consequences are a feature of Tolo Harbour in Hong Kong (Xu et al., 2010), Loch Striven on the Scottish west coast (Tett et al., 1986) (Tett et al., 1986), and the Seto Inland Sea of Japan. In contrast, the enriched but energetic waters of Victoria Harbour, Hong Kong (Xu et al., 2010), Carlingford Lough, on the border between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland (Capuzzo, 2011), and the eastern Irish Sea (Gowen et al., 2008) do not exhibit the symptoms of eutrophication. This is because their hydrodynamic characteristics (i.e., rapid flushing in Victoria Harbour, and tidal stirring in Carlingford Lough and the Eastern Irish Sea) counteract nutrient enrichment, reducing the potential for development of high biomass HABs. The influence of hydrodynamics on nutrient/HAB linkage can be illustrated by comparison of the nutrient enriched Victoria and Tolo Harbours of Hong Kong. High flushing rates normally reduce stratification in Victoria Harbour, resulting in lower chlorophyll biomass (Fig. 4) and less frequent red tides than other enriched locations in the same region (Fig. 3). Only when summer stratification occurs do chlorophyll concentrations approach those in the more permanently stratified Tolo Harbour.

5. Type of nutrient

The different forms of nutrients that are available may also influence HAB development. While most of the focus has been on inorganic nutrients, there are significant pools of dissolved organic N and P (DON and DOP) in coastal waters (Antia et al., 1991), originating from both allochthonous and autochthonous sources (Davidson et al., 2007; Glibert et al., 2005; Pete et al., 2010). Increasing evidence suggests that organic nutrients promote the growth of some HAB species including, PSP-causing dinoflagellates such as Alexandrium spp. (Leong et al., 2004), the hepatotoxic Proorocentrum minimum (Heil et al., 2005), the brown tide chrysophyte Aureococcus anophagefferens (Gilbert et al., 2007) and the amnesic shellfish poisoning (ASP) causative diatom, Pseudo-nitzschia (Loureiro et al., 2009). In addition, some key harmful genera, such as the diarreic shellfish poisoning (DSP) causative dinoflagellate Dinophysis, feed heterotrophically on organic matter (Minnshagen et al., 2011; Park et al., 2006), see section 6 below.

Urea has often been identified as an organic N form of concern (Gilbert et al., 2006). Recently, urea use has increased markedly and, while regionally variable, urea now accounts for greater than 50% of global nitrogenous fertilizer usage (Gilbert et al., 2006), with urea concentrations in some coastal waters being enhanced significantly through the terrestrial runoff of unutilized fertilizer. Urea may be an important N source for phytoplankton (Glibert and Gilbert, 2008; Solomon et al., 2010), even in non-enriched locations. For example, between 24 and 44% of phytoplankton N uptake at the chlorophyll maximum in Loch Creran during summer 2010 was urea-N, even though its concentration in the water column never exceeded 1 μM (Fig. 5).

Association between HABs and urea fertilizer usage has been suggested (Glibert et al., 2006) based on global maps of urea use and HAB distributions, but the lack of long term time-series studies of HABs and their toxins prior to changes in fertilization practices, as well as the lack of observed non-HAB events, currently prevents definitive conclusions from being drawn. Utilisation of urea has been demonstrated for a number of important HAB organisms (Cochlan et al., 2008; Collos et al., 2007; Probyn et al., 2010; Sinclair et al., 2009). Gilbert et al. (2008) also showed that, of 13 surveyed species, urease activity was greatest in two (harmful) dinoflagellates, but as urease activity of some of the diatoms studied exceeded that of other harmful dinoflagellates, the results are not clear cut.

The laboratory based Alexandrium tamarensis data of Leong et al. (2004) is sometimes used as evidence that the toxin concentrations in urea-grown dinoflagellates exceed that of nitrate-grown cells (e.g. Gilbert et al., 2008). However, Leong et al. (2004) pointed out that toxicity “did not vary dramatically”, and indeed that ammonium grown cells generated the highest toxicity (Fig. 6). The work of Xu et al. (2012) further illustrates the difficulty in determining the role of urea in HAB development. These authors found the growth dynamics and toxicity of Alexandrium tamarensis and Alexandrium catenella to differ with different forms of N and P; and for A. tamarensis, ammonium-grown cells to generate the highest cellular toxin content, nitrate-grown cells the highest toxin production rate, and urea-grown cells the highest growth rate.

An influence of enhanced concentrations of coastal N through fertilizer derived urea, rather that other N species is therefore possible, but unambiguous data to demonstrate the species affected, geographical locations, and magnitude or form (growth rate, toxicity, etc.) of any effect are still lacking. A better understanding of the competition for urea between harmful and benign phytoplankton would help to clarify and quantify any specific anthropogenic urea-HAB link. Detailed local interpretation and analysis of nutrient loading data are also required. For example, the British Survey of Fertiliser Practice 2011 (Holmes, 2012) indicates

---

**Fig. 4.** Monthly mean surface and bottom salinity in a) Victoria Harbour and b) Tolo Harbour (Hong Kong). Monthly mean phytoplankton biomass estimated by chlorophyll a (Chl a) in c) Victoria Harbour and d) Tolo Harbour (from Xu et al. 2010).

---
that 358,000 tonnes of urea were used as fertiliser in that year; this was 7.4% of the total, with urea ammonium nitrate (UAN) contributing another 7.2%. However, most of this fertiliser was applied in the months of March, April and May, which is before the main season for dinoflagellate growth.

6. Mixotrophy

The role of nutrients in promoting HABs is further complicated by the increasing realization that many phytoplankters are capable of combining both phototrophic and heterotrophic modes of nutrition in what is termed mixotrophy (Jones, 1997; Stoecker, 1998). Recent reviews (Burkholder et al., 2008; Glibert and Legrand, 2006) have highlighted the importance of different forms of heterotrophic nutrition for a rapidly increasing list of HAB species. Examples of osmotrophs include *Aureococcus anophagefferens* brown tides in coastal waters of Long Island and bays of Maryland (Gilbert et al., 2007), with heterotrophy now thought, based on laboratory studies, to support organisms including the important diarrheic shellfish poisoning causative genus, *Dinophysis* (Minnhagen et al., 2011). Notwithstanding the above examples, a full understanding of the role of mixotrophy in promoting harmful blooms is lacking, with the relative importance of photosynthesis, dissolved organic nutrients, ingestion of prey, and the factors that govern the partitioning of these nutritional modes by both HAB and benign organisms being largely unknown (Burkholder et al., 2008; Montagnes et al., 2008).

7. Implications for human health

The relationship between HABs, their biotoxins, and negative impact on human health has been long understood (Gowen et al., 2012). However, although the number of recorded HAB events has been increasing, there is no documented parallel increase in human and other animal health events (with the possible exception of the freshwater cyanobacteria, Zaias et al., 2010). Because the link between anthropogenic nutrients and HAB events is not universal, it is difficult to point to HAB-related human health incidents that are the consequence of anthropogenic nutrient enrichment. The lack of any clear link is most likely because most HAB observations result from HAB monitoring programs only designed to ensure shellfish safety. This also implies that harvesting closures based on monitoring appear to have been generally successful in preventing contaminated shellfish from reaching the market.

Blooms of species that produce biotoxins (Table 1), regardless of their cause, are of concern from a human and animal health perspective. Humans and animals encounter these toxins through a range of mechanisms, such as direct water ingestion or contact, aerosolized transport, or the consumption of a marine organism that has concentrated the toxins through filter feeding (e.g., shellfish) or through the food chain (e.g., fish). Most known algal toxins are neurotoxins, although some can cause skin and liver damage and even cancer. The majority of human diseases associated with HAB toxins appear to be acute phenomena, although some (e.g., ciguatera fish poisoning) can cause prolonged sub/chronic disease, and the chronic aspects of HAB diseases have been poorly studied (Fleming et al., 2011; Okamoto and Fleming, 2005; Zaias et al., 2010).

While the threat posed by cyanobacterial toxins from inland and brackish coastal waters to animals, and to a lesser extent to humans, has been recognized by some medical and public health practitioners, the risk associated with marine biotoxins is less well appreciated, likely leading to an under-reporting and under-recording of seafood poisonings. A recent study of medical data from Wales (Hinder et al., 2011) demonstrated very low numbers of recorded shellfish poisonings but also that it was impossible to verify the number of affected individuals who do not seek medical treatment.

Even in coastal areas where HABs are endemic and there is a requirement for medical reporting of HAB-associated diseases (e.g., ciguatera fish poisoning and neurotoxic shellfish poisoning in
Florida, USA), under-diagnosis and under-reporting still occurs (McKee et al., 2001; Watkins et al., 2008). This situation is even worse for the illnesses associated with HAB biotoxin-contaminated aerosols and water, such as the respiratory irritation and exacerbation of asthma linked to brevetoxin aerosol exposure during HABs of Karenia brevis and related organisms (Fleming et al., 2011).

Major issues in documenting the possible human (and animal health) impact of HABs include the lack of systematic surveillance and baseline incidence rates for HAB-associated human illnesses, both locally and globally. Furthermore, detection methods for HAB toxins in the environment and more importantly, in humans, are either completely lacking (particularly human biomarkers), or expensive and not widely available (Backer and Fleming, 2008; Kite-Powell et al., 2008). Recent efforts by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] and other organizations to establish a coordinated human, animal, and environmental health surveillance network known as the Harmful Algal Bloom-related Illness Surveillance System (HABISS) (http://www.cdc.gov/hab/surveillance.htm) may improve this situation in the future.

Given both the difficulties of establishing cause and effect relationships and the lack of systematic and consistent medical recording and public health reporting, it is not surprising that there are no clear links between anthropogenic nutrient-generated HABs and human health effects in marine waters. Yet our understanding of the potential for HABs to harm health is now much greater than it was only 20–30 years ago. This potential is evident from the documented increasing worldwide incidence of cyanobacterial blooms in freshwater bodies that have been associated with nutrient enrichment and linked to reports of deaths and illnesses of domestic and other animals, although demonstrating increased incidence of human health events has been more difficult (Stewart et al., 2011; Zaiaas et al., 2010), as is the quantification of the future scale of any nutrient-HAB health problem.

### 8. Prevention, control and economic impacts

Strategies to limit sewage, agricultural and industrial discharges to coastal waters are being implemented in many countries by P and N removal from waste and control of fertilizer application. Proper assessment of the ecological effects and economic costs of this approach is typically lacking (but see Lancelot et al., 2011). Furthermore, nutrient removal is expensive and may not have the effect of reducing the frequency and magnitude of HABs or their economic impacts.

As with health effects, the economic impacts of HABs can be attributed to anthropogenic factors only if a causal link can be shown to exist between them. Economists arguably have paid relatively more attention to high-biomass blooms (cf. Soderqvist, 1998; Taylor and Luongo, 2009), with the economic and coastal management literature offering few examples of economic damages arising from anthropogenic nutrient-driven low-biomass, toxic algal blooms.

Much of the extant literature on the economic impacts of HABs has employed relatively crude measures and methodologies, the results of which often are difficult to compare (see Hoagland et al., 2002). For example, in the United States, a purported $50-million annual loss attributed to paralytic shellfish poisoning in Bering Sea surf clams (Spisula polynyma) has been misrepresented repeatedly by policy-makers, as there is no evidence that such a fishery is commercially viable (Hoagland, 2008). Therefore, there is a need for research to understand the consequences of HAB events associated with changed recreational activities, fishery closures, or reductions in market supplies for different locations and for different groups of people.

While several rigorous studies of the economic effects of HABs do exist (Jin and Hoagland, 2008; Morgan et al., 2009; Nunes and van den Bergh, 2004; Parsons et al., 2006; Scatasa, 2004; Wessells et al., 1995), these studies have not demonstrated clear links to anthropogenic nutrients. For example Anderson (2000) reported on the economic impact of HAB in coastal waters of the US and gave examples of the economic loss due to large blooms of Alexandrium fundyense in New England. However, such blooms are a natural occurrence and there is no evidence of an anthropogenic nutrient driven increase in their magnitude of frequency of occurrence.

A rough estimate of the economic effects of HABs in the United States is $100 million per year (at the 2012 value of the dollar). Anderson et al. (2000) estimated the proportional breakdown of costs related to HAB impacts to be: 45% for public health costs, 37% in term of the costs of closures and losses experienced by commercial fisheries, 13% to the impact on lost recreation & tourism, and 4% to monitoring and management costs.

A comparable estimate for the European Union (EU) is an order of magnitude larger at $1 billion annually; although approximately two-thirds of this is associated with the noxious, but non-toxic, effects of macroalgal (and some microalgal, e.g., Phaeocystis) blooms affecting the human uses of the coast (Hoagland and Scatasa, 2006). Most other parts of the world report only ad hoc estimates of impacts, stemming from extraordinary events (e.g.}

---

**Table 1**

Major HAB organisms associated with human health effects (adapted from Zaiaas et al., 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representative HAB organism</th>
<th>Biotoxins</th>
<th>Vector/route(s) of exposure</th>
<th>Human health effect/illness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diatoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-nitzschia spp.</td>
<td>Domoic acid</td>
<td>Shellfish Fish(^a)</td>
<td>Amnesiac shellfish poisoning (ASP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinoflagellates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnodinium catenatum,</td>
<td>Saxitoxins</td>
<td>Shellfish Pufferfish</td>
<td>Paralytic shellfish poisoning (PSP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnodinium bahamense var.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compressum, Alexandrium spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinophysis spp., Prorocentrum lima</td>
<td>Okadaic acids</td>
<td>Shellfish</td>
<td>Diarrheic shellfish poisoning (DSP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proccentrum minimum</td>
<td>Neurotoxins</td>
<td>Shellfish Fish(^a)</td>
<td>Venerupin shellfish poisoning (VSP)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karenia brevis (formerly Gymnodinium breve)</td>
<td>Brevetoxins</td>
<td>Shellfish Fish(^a)</td>
<td>Neurotoxic shellfish poisoning (NSP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fish(^a)</td>
<td>Neurotoxic fish poisoning(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aerosols</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azadinum spp.</td>
<td>Azaspiracids</td>
<td>Shellfish</td>
<td>Azaspiracid shellfish poisoning (ASP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambierdiscus toxicus, Possibly Ostreopsis spp., Coolia spp., or Proccentrum spp.</td>
<td>Ciguatoxins</td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Ciguatera fish poisoning (CFP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanobacteria Microcystis</td>
<td>Microcystins</td>
<td>Water Aerosols(^a) Fish(^a)</td>
<td>Liver damage Liver cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyngbya Lyngbyatoxins</td>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Skin irritation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Vectors/effects that remain open to scientific debate.
From an economic perspective, the demonstration of a nutrient-low biomass HAB link would influence the array of policy responses, thereby adding the regulation of nutrients from point or non-point sources as potentially feasible responses.

The economic effects of HABs arise from public health costs including morbidities and mortalities, commercial fishery closures and fish kills, declines in coastal and marine recreation and tourism, and the costs of monitoring and management. Aggregating economic effects both within and across these categories can be problematic, as the measures of effects are rarely the changes in economic surpluses sought by economists (Hoagland et al., 2002). Estimates of these effects should (but often do not) attempt to account for how humans react when faced with a bloom: beach-goers choose another beach, commercial shell-fishermen another fishery, and seafood consumers another protein (Morgan et al., 2010). Although exceptions exist, including the so-called “halo effect” by which broader markets are adversely influenced as a consequence of the miscommunication of risks (e.g., Parsons et al., 2006 on the *Pfiesteria* spp. case), the economic effects of HABs tend to be localized (Hoagland et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2008; Morgan et al., 2009; Scatasta et al., 2003). Even if a reduction in HABs can be achieved the economic understanding of the relative costs of HAB mediated “harm” and preventative measures is currently poor. Japan’s Seto Inland Sea provides an example where the economic impacts of (high biomass) HAB events arguably have been tied to anthropogenic sources of nutrients. Fig. 7 displays a time series of the lost sales from local aquaculture operations, as estimated by the Japan Fisheries Agency (Imai et al., 2006). The straight line is a linear fit, suggestive of a slight downward trend, although it cannot explain the substantial variability in the data. If the outlier year of 1972 is ignored, lost sales have remained fairly constant over time, averaging $10.5 ± $5.5 million per year over 35 years (~95% confidence interval), even as pollution regulations have been implemented.

The reasons for continuing impacts in the Seto Inland Sea relate to the succession of HABs dominating a system characterized by changing anthropogenic nutrient loading. During the last two decades pollution controls have been implemented on a consistent basis, leading to a highly modified, oligotrophic system (Yamamoto, 2003). While therefore, the overall frequency of high biomass HABs has been reduced through nutrient control measures, some HAB species have increased (Imai et al., 2006), with biotoxin-producing species now causing problems through their ability to compete in low phosphorus conditions.

Gren et al. (1997) conducted a seminal study of the net benefits of simulated nutrient reductions to control eutrophication and associated cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea, finding that the benefits of a 50% reduction in N and P input was roughly proportional to the control costs for the Baltic as a whole, with significant variation in net benefits depending upon the implementing jurisdiction. However, the potential for complex feedbacks between oxygen, phosphorus, and nitrogen may cause the ecosystem to respond in unexpected ways, rather than producing a shift back to a hypothesized pre-impacted condition (Vahreta et al., 2007).

One comprehensive study of the costs and potential ecological effectiveness of nutrient reduction strategies is that of Lancelot et al. (2011) who used a modelling approach to evaluate the impact of *Phaeocystis* blooms in the southern North Sea. They found the costs of nutrient reduction-based *Phaeocystis* mitigation to be substantial and dependent of the scale of reduction in bloom magnitude/duration desired. Evaluating the economic benefit and ecological implications of such reductions remained uncertain, however, preventing a cost-benefit analysis from being conducted.

Measures to prevent or to mitigate the effects of HABs, whether they are related to anthropogenic nutrients or not, are increasingly topical (Anderson, 2009, 2004). Many methods of bloom control have been proposed, including mechanical, biological, chemical, genetic, and environmental. While successful examples of local control measures exist, for example the use of clay dispersal to control blooms of *Cochlodinium polykrikoides* in Korean waters (Kim, 2010); these measures are frequently too rudimentary, localized, or problematic for widespread implementation (Anderson, 2009). Hence, monitoring rather than control is likely to remain the most useful HAB management strategy. Monitoring, however, is not a cheap option, with significant costs having to be borne by governments and/or industry (Anderson et al., 2001). Therefore, given the uncertainty in the anthropogenic nutrient-HAB relationship and the difficulties in assigning a value to HAB-related “harm,” there is a critical need for increased attention to economic assessments of their effects and the choices of management responses.

9. Conclusions

A link between anthropogenic nutrients and HABs is clear in some of the studies described above, but other examples demonstrate that this link is not universal. Hence, the role of anthropogenic nutrients in promoting HABs is location-specific and most frequently associated with high biomass organisms, but with hydrodynamic conditions and other pressures often over-riding nutrient effects at local scales. Evidence from key examples used in support of the nutrient ratios—HABs hypothesis is unconvincing, and while organic nutrients, and urea in particular, are increasingly being shown to play a role in supporting the growth of phytoplankton, a clear demonstration that HAB species and/or their toxicity are specifically promoted by anthropogenic urea remains elusive. Verification of this link is particularly important, because its clear demonstration would have significant implications for terrestrial farming practices and regulation in the developing world, where urea fertilizer use has become increasingly prevalent.

While the potential human health effects of some HAB species are clear, with the exception of freshwater cyanobacteria, negative health impacts from anthropogenic nutrient-generated HABs have not been well established, perhaps because nutrients are linked more clearly with high biomass species rather than low biomass biotoxin-producing organisms. Nutrient reduction strategies in anthropogenically enriched waters may be beneficial in terms of
reducing the undesirable effects of eutrophication such as bottom water deoxygenation and fish kills. However, given the uncertain outcomes of how the composition of the phytoplankton community will respond, the lack of human biomarkers and surveillance data on HAB health effects, and the unknown balance between the costs of monitoring and prevention compared with the costs of potential harm, there is little current evidence to suggest that safeguarding human health should be a major reason for adopting such nutrient reduction strategies at this time.

The economics of nutrient reduction strategies and, in particular, their link to HABs have been poorly studied and is therefore inadequately understood. The economic approach should be to minimize the combined losses and management costs, but insufficient evidence currently exists to allow this to be conducted effectively. With concerns over public health at stake, the significant efforts that have gone into monitoring and regulating aquaculture and fisheries need to be maintained.
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